

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/607,728	06/27/2003	Neal C. Oliver	42P16530	6497	
8791 7590 04/24/2007 BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN EXAMINER					
	12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD SEVENTH FLOOR			DUONG, DUC T	
	S, CA 90025-1030	ADTIBUT DARED MUMPED		PAPER NUMBER	
			2616		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MO	NTHS	04/24/2007	PAF	PFR	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

			. (			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/607,728	OLIVER ET AL				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Duc T. Duong	2616				
The MAILING DATE of this communication			ess			
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN t 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a find will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO titute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. I reply be timely filed INTHS from the mailing date of this commuNBANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27	7 June 2003.					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ▼ T	his action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the m	erits is			
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex par</i> te <i>Quayle</i> , 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the applicati	on.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a		by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	•					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	•	, ,	1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-	152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	ian priority under 35 H.S.C.	8 119(a) (d) or (f)				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	ight priority under 35 0.5.C.	9 119(a)-(u) or (1).				
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received					
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		Application No.				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	age			
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a I	ist of the certified copies no	t received.				
•						
Attachment(s)						
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11 - 22 - 04 10 - 2 - 0 3	6) Other: <u>.                                    </u>					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

Art Unit: 2616

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 1, 2, 4-6, 9-13, 15-19, 21-24, and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Chen et al (US Patent 6, 975,638 B1).

Regarding to claims 1, 11, 16, and 23, Chen discloses an apparatus (fig. 7) comprising a classification unit C1-2 to examine packets received from a network (col. 7 lines 22-26), determine a path (egress port/destination port) to be taken by each packet through a switch fabric 70 (col. 7 lines 26-29), and classify each packet into one of a plurality of flow bundles based on the packet's destination and path through the switch fabric (col. 7 lines 29-45); a mapping unit (CAM) coupled to the classification unit to place each packet into one of a plurality of queues F1-2 based on the flow bundle to

Art Unit: 2616

which the packet has been classified (col. 7 lines 29-45); one or more traffic shapers 124-126 coupled to the mapping unit to regulate the rate at which traffic moves out of the queues (col. 7 lines 52-67 and col. 8 lines 1-25); and a scheduler 136 coupled to the traffic shapers to regulate the order in which packets in the queues will be transmitted to a next destination through the switch fabric (col. 8 lines 45-52).

Regarding to claims 2, 17, and 24, Chen discloses regulating the rate at which traffic moves out of the queues with a traffic shaping algorithm (col. 7 lines 52-62).

Regarding to claims 4, 15, 18, and 26, Chen discloses labeling each packet with information identifying an associated flow and flow bundle (col. 7 lines 41-43).

Regarding to claims 5 and 21, Chen discloses classifying each packet into one of a plurality of flow bundles based on the packet's destination, path through the switch fabric, and priority (col. 7 lines 29-37).

Regarding to claim 6, Chen discloses scheduling the packets in the queues for transmission using a Round Robin scheduling algorithm (col. 7 lines 46-49).

Regarding to claims 9 and 19, Chen discloses determining which traffic class each received network packet belongs based on protocols associated with the packet (col. 2 lines 3-8).

Regarding to claims 10, 13, and 22, Chen discloses forwarding the packets to a switch 80 coupled to the switch fabric for transmission to the next destination (fig. 3 col. 5 lines 49-51).

Art Unit: 2616

Regarding to claim 12, Chen discloses an access unit L1-2 coupled to the classification unit to receive packets from and transmit packets to the network (col. 7 lines 13-21).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 3, 14, 20, and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen et al in view of Hooman et al (US Patent 7, 155,716 B2).

Regarding to claims 3, 14, 20, and 25, Chen et al discloses all the limitations with respect to claims 1, 11, 16, and 23, except for the classification unit comprises a load balancing element to determine a path to be taken by each packet through a switch fabric based on load balancing. However, Hooman discloses a method and system for scheduling transmission of packets comprising a classifier 314 that serve to provide load balancing (fig. 3 col. 3 lines 47-49). Thus, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to employ such classifier as taught by Hooman in Chen's system to avoid overflow in the queues.

5. Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen et al in view of Duffield et al (US Patent 6,452,933 B1).

Regarding to claims 7 and 8, Chen et al discloses all the limitations with respect to claim 1, except for scheduling the packets in the queues for transmission comprises

Art Unit: 2616

scheduling the packets in the queues for transmission using a Longest Delay First algorithm (claim 7) or a Stepwise QoS Scheduler SQS (claim 8). However, Duffield discloses a method and apparatus for routing packets in a communication network comprising a scheduler 200 implementing the Longest Delay First algorithm and the Least Time to Overflow algorithm (fig. 2 col. 5 lines 4-25). Thus, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to employ such scheduler as taught by Duffield in Chen's system for delivery of packets having various properties and criteria requirements.

#### Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Duc T. Duong whose telephone number is 571-272-3122. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:00 AM-6:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Huy D. Vu can be reached on 571-272-3155. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

Art Unit: 2616

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Page 6

DD DD

HUY D. VU

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600